#### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

#### **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2003P00688WO	FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/JP2004/019691	International filing date (a 22.12.2004	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.03.2004				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC INV. B60C23/04 B60C17/00 B29C73/16							
Applicant BRIDGESTONE CORPORATION 6	et al.						
This report is the international pre Authority under Article 35 and trar	liminary examination repassmitted to the applicant	ort, established by this according to Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
3. This report is also accompanied b	3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:						
a. Sent to the applicant and to	the International Bureau	u) a total of 2 sheets, a	as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	de earlier sheets, but whi in the international applic	ch this Authority consid cation as filed, as indica	ers contain an amendment that goes ated in item 4 of Box No. I and the				
b. (sent to the International B sequence listing and/or tab Relating to Sequence Listin	les related thereto, in ele	ctronic form only, as in	of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a dicated in the Supplemental Box etions).				
This report contains indications re	lating to the following iter	ms:					
☐ Box No. I Basis of the repe	ort						
☐ Box No. II Priority							
☑ Box No. III Non-establishme	ent of opinion with regard	I to novelty, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention						
Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cita	ment under Article 35(2) Itions and explanations s	with regard to novelty, i upporting such stateme	nventive step or industrial ent				
☐ Box No. VI Certain docume							
	in the international applic						
☑ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this	report				
09.11.2005		08.06.2006					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	al .	Authorized officer	objects a Patonions				
European Patent Office		Decama I					
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365	56 epmu d	Buergo, J					
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 89 239	99-8884				

# IAP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 3 0 AUG 2006

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/019691

10/591185

	Во	x No. I	Basis of the report
1.	Wi	th regar	d to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on
	$\boxtimes$	the int	ernational application in the language in which it was filed
			slation of the international application into, which is the language anslation furnished for the purposes of:
		☐ put	ernational search (under Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) Dication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a)) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
2.	hav	ve been	d to the <b>elements*</b> of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>
	Des	scription	, Pages
	1-14	4	as originally filed
	Cla	ims, Nur	nbers
	1-8		received on 14.11.2005 with letter of 09.11.2005
	Dra	wings, S	iheets
	1/2,	2/2	as originally filed
		a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.		The an	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
			description, pages claims, Nos.
		☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :
			table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.	had	not bee	poort has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below in made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the real Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
			description, pages claims, Nos.
		☐ the d	drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
	*	If ite	m 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/019691

		x No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial plicability				
1.		ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ovious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international application,				
	$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 1-8				
	because:					
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	$\boxtimes$	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-8 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify).				
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.				
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:				
		☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.				
		a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.				
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
		See separate sheet for further details				

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/019691

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### VIII. Certain observations

1. Independent claim 1 relates to a "process for monitoring a tire condition and repairing a punctured pneumatic tire having a structure of controlling the damage of the tire produced by the unavoidable running in the punctured state".

The subject-matter of this claim is a mixture of features which are neither related to one another nor to the "process".

First, "monitoring a tire condition" and "repairing a punctured pneumatic tire" are two different processes which would require two different applications. Thus, the feature "detecting a puncture ... internal pressure alarm" corresponds to the *monitoring*, and "refilling gas inside the tire ... equipped on the vehicle" to the *repairing*.

"Mounting on a vehicle an assembly of a pneumatic tire and an approved rim ... " is neither related to the "monitoring" nor to the "repairing". The same applies to the "unavoidable running the punctured tire ... and quickly stop the vehicle".

Furthermore, the above-mentioned features "detecting a puncture ..." and refilling gas inside the tire..." attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.

Claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined.

- The additional features of dependent claims 2-7 relate to the aspect ratio of the tire
  and its deformation under zero pressure. These combinations of features do not
  render the subject-matter compliant with Article 6 PCT.
- Independent claim 8 is directed to a system for monitoring a tire condition and repairing a punctured pneumatic tire. The same arguments as for claim 1 apply. Also this claim would not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.